British Colonies vol 4.

AN

ADDRESS

TO THE

PUBLICK

On the Subject of the

East India Dividend.

Re inania aut subdola: quantoque majore libertatis imagine tetebantur, tanto eruptura ad Infensius Servitium.



L O N D O N:

Printed for S. Beadon, in Paternoster-Rows.

MDCCLXVII.

A D D R E S S

HIT OT



East India Dividend.

-and therefore region of the control of the control



LOMDOM: District for S. Branches in Patenteller-Rew. Miscollaylik

AN

ADDRESS, &c.

7 HEN one reflects on the arbitrary and violent acts of former times, we are furprized that the people could bear fuch abfurdity and oppression, never confidering how the arts of defigning men had probably difguifed the truth, on the occasion of exercising such oppressions, and that the people did not fee them in the real light in which they then flood, and are now handed down to us. We are apt to confider the Parliament of Henry the VIIIth with greater detestation than the Roman Senate under the Emperors, and yet there are many people living who may remember equal contradictions from a fimilar fet of men, fuch as the minister has directed, without any of that national hatred attendattending them, which a philosopher would expect should be the concomitant of such actions.

of

di

th

110

th

Can it be imagined that the people of Russia really believed their Emperor murdered by his wife, or Prince Ivan killed by design? No, they, good people, believed, as most others do, the relation which the ministers published about the court, That the one died of the piles, and the other perished by accident.

What led me into these reflections, is the affair of the East India Company now passing under our immediate obfervation. As I have been particularly acquainted with most of the facts, I can be bold to fay, that the English history does not furnish any instances where greater treachery, injustice, folly or ingratitude can be found, or where a more direct attack has been made on every thing that is dear to an independent spirit, or the credit or liberties of this country; and yet fuch has been the effect, by the groffest and vilest misrepresentations, coming from men in the highest offices. -brenn

her

int

of

or

an

le,

on

ne

s,

is

y

)-

y

n

offices, and uttered with a confident indifference, little fuiting the dignity of their station, that it is only of late that the alarm has been taken, and even now, half the metropolis remain under the delusions which these honourable Gentlemen have propagated.

Whoever will be pleased to read, with a due attention to principles and their confequences, the bill now depending in Parliament, relative to the refcinding and restraining the India dividend, and will read the arret of the King of France after the peace, respecting their funds, and which ruined the credit of that country, they will find the difference of the remedies in the application of the one and the other, as a drop of water to a folution of vitriol. The King of France took nothing to himfelf from the flockholders, any more than is done by this bill, but he depreciated the value of the flock, which is all in all. If one knew where to combat those Gentlemen, the point might be brought to some iffue, but when they escape like serpents by 100 wreaths, or like Methodist women disputing about religion,

religion, immediately fly from one point to another, it is impossible ever to get hold of them. Sometimes they alledge the Company has not fufficient effects. Upon this we are willing to join iffue, and to admit that, if fuch be the case, the Parliament did right to interfere. But if you demand immediately to go into the proofs, and enter upon the argument, just as you have brought it to fuch a certainty as the most hardened fon of a cook-maid cannot deny the conclusion, why then you are anfwered, It does not fignify whether you have effects or no, by G- it is all difputing about riches in the moon; you cannot bring this into cash.

If it is demonstrated, upon the lowest computations, that we can bring sufficient of our goods into cash to answer the dividend in February, and even to pay off all our debts by the 5th of August 1768; or if it is shown we can negotiate our Bills on Bengal for 100,000l. to-morrow at 4 per cent. premium, and consequently that we cannot be at a loss for 40,000 l. why then we are answered with

one

er to

al-

ef-

join.

the.

ter-

tely

noc

ght

ar-

eny

an-

ou.

dif-

70u

rest

ffi-

ver

to

lu-

an

ol.

nd

oss

ed

ith

with another volley of oaths, by G- it. does not fignify whether you can or not, you have borrowed more than the law has permitted you, and a man in high office has faid fo. We are all willing to admit the wonderful abilities of this little man in high office; only, how much foever he looks like a Popish Priest. We infift that his random decrees shall not be deemed infallible, much less his loofe heated illiberal conversation. us then rest the point on this question: No, I will have no question with you, you have deferved all you have fuffered, the bill shall pass, the whole was irregular, you have affronted Administration; how durft you take up the matter when Parliament had your affairs under confideration? Now as this is the end of all the conversations I have endeavoured to have on this fubject, and as it is the kind of reasoning which contractors, jobbers, and the lowest of the ministerial tools have gathered, who never used to pretend to reason before, and by which many well-meaning people are deceived, I shall therefore endeavour to anfwer each of those points.

C

And

And first, That the whole was irregular, because no previous notice was given, and no papers were read, and no ballot was taken, and many transfers were made on the preceding day.

There is nothing laid down either by the charters or bye-laws, or the customs of the proceedings of general courts, which requires any previous notice of any motion to be made. It is neither the practice of the proceeding in the House of Lords or Commons. However, it was kept no fecret, and if the matter turn'd on this point, it is very certain the Directors did know of this motion, because they consulted very eminent council on the preceding evening, who told them these words: "Why, if the 10 per cent. is not illegal, " you'll find difficulty to prove the other " fo, if they shall vote it."

Whether papers shall be read or not is always in the judgment of the court, and therefore unless it can be shewn that the reading of papers is required either by law or practice, no objection

can arife on that account. Some alledge indeed, that the 29th bye-law requires the reading of the general annual account. I am fure the construction of language in that bye-law does not imply it, and the conflant practice has been against it, nor was the general annual account ever shewn before this period, though the dividend has been raifed and lowered fo often. In Mr. Barclay's time we find the Directors put a previous question on a motion to read papers; but I shall answer this as the foregoing objection, that supposing it was necessary, why did not the Directors produce it; no body hindered them: but to alledge from thence that the Proprietors knew nothing of their affairs, is an unjust conclusion; they actually did produce accounts, and read every article, and called on the Directors to contradict them, which fixes the account as much as if it had come from the Directors themselves; besides, it is to be remembered, that the Proprietors had employed two preceding months in reading papers, and looking into their affairs: The refult was to vote the Directors Ta Zet

pro-

propositions, and therefore 12; per cent was no new idea either to the Directors or administration; and what is very remarkable, out of 13 propositions delivered in, not one person states the dividend which ought to be allow'd the Proprietors, at a lower rate than 12; and all, except one, states it at a higher rate; nay, Mr. Sullivan offer'd to give 14 per cent. upon an enlarged capital.

We come next to the objection of no ballot being taken: again it is answer'd, there is no law, custom or practice which necessarily requires a ballot to give validity to a resolution of a general court: On the contrary, every question which passes without such a proceeding, must be deemed a better determination than by ballot, because it actually proves there was not nine men in the court who diffented from the resolution. The present bill directs a ballot in future, and if it should unfortunately pass into a law, it will be necessary; but to require it before, is both unjust and absurd; more especially, as in looking into all the alterations of dividend which have taken taken place, fince the beginning of the Company, there is only one that was determined by ballot. And here I beg leave to remark by the bye, that the charter (with a due regard to justice and common fense) requires all questions to be determined by a majority of the members present, and the method by ballot, being only a bye-law, it cannot nor ought not to alter the principles of the charter. The original intention of ballot was only to take the vote in a fecret manner, thereby fecuring the independency of the voter; and accordingly at the commencement, we find all ballots were taken on the fpot. It is fomewhat remarkable to hear the same set of men infift that papers must be read on the one hand, and yet that the question must be determined by Proprietors at a distance on the other, who never heard either fuch papers read, or the merits of the cause debated.

We come now to the 115 transfers the day before the vote was pass'd. If gentlemen will look to the day of shutting before the election, they will find 206 transfers.

transfers, and yet no fuperior judge has ever thought of vacating the election on that account. The fact is, that in the one case as in the other, both sides exerted themselves; but furely this proves nothing against a vote that was unanimous, where the numbers were 456, where the most respectable Proprietors were present, and where, in spite of the lies (for they deserve no milder name) which have been utter'd, and the malice which has prevailed, the utmost good fense and decency was apparent in the court. If this objection was to have been made, it should have been applied against the vote of 400,000 l. which administration received without the smallest objection, from the very men they have fince perfecuted, in a manner unparalleled before in this country, and afterbreaking through the most folemn affurances in that transaction.

Yet fuch are the characters who in the jumble of politicks are brought to rule this immense empire at a time when the greatest talents and integrity are required.

1001611

I come now to the last accusation: you have affronted Administration; how durst you take up this matter when Parliament had your affairs under consideration?

I should have been very happy, if in the course of my conversation I could ever have been able to have fixed the particular affront which Administration is faid to have received on this occasion: if it was in acting after the Proprietors had received an interdictory meffage in their name, why Administration has denied that ever they fent fuch a meffage, or that they ever wished to intermeddle with the dividend; and therefore there can be no offence on that account, if any credit is to be given to this their most solemn denial. I shall endeavour to confider this point in two lights. First, I will consider it, supposing the denial true, and fecondly, supposing the denial false, and the message to be true.

If Administration never sent any such message, how then can we excuse them for the adulation and slattery, and sup-

port

port which they have lavished on the dullest clods of clay that ever were animated with human breath, after they had thus falfely used their names to such wicked purposes?

And again, if the message never was fent, the 12 per cent. will Rand part of the propositions, as agreed to by Administration, and therefore the Proprietors (according as the matter flands upon the very account of Administration themselves) were vindicated, nay invited to do what they did; for it must ever be remembered, that supposing 121 or any other dividend, is to take place at a certain period, the fooner it is declared the fairer the tranfaction must appear respecting the publick; and that the answer of administration was thus understood by the Directors themselves, appears from their own words, viz. But having last night received a meffage from Administration, which materially altered the whole of the propositions, they had therefore deferred printing, &c. How then could the message respecting the ten per cent. materially

terially alter, if it was not before undere flood that 12; was to take place? So that whether the message was sent, or was not fent, it is clear the idea of 12; per cent. was fixed in every man's mind, as far as publick faith or publick transactions could fix it, until eighteen hours before it was voted: and what renders this undubitable, is the propositions of the court of Directors themselves, where are those words: And out of the profits there shall be first deducted the sum of 400,000 l. to be applied and disposed of in dividends to the Proprietors, or in fuch manner as shall be agreed by them. And upon the whole, they are of opinion, that a certain fum referved in England to the Proprietors, equal to a dividend of 12: per cent. with the prospect of a future increase and advantages, will be more eligible to the Proprietors than a dividend of 14 per cent. paid in India. And also, the propositions number 11. from whence the propositions of the court of Directors were taken, where are the same words. Now it is certain that these propositions, number 11. were revised

revised and approved by Administration, and even handed about as their propofitions.

I shall now suppose the denial false, and the message to be true. Can the Proprietors of India Stock be blamed for regarding with a proper contempt such an insolent and unconstitutional a message, that even the parties who fent it dare not now avow it? Was it not the business of every good subject to bring the dividend to some fixt point, to prevent stock-jobbing, agreeable to the flourishing lituation and the future profits of the company, and according to a just regard to the Company's Creditors on the one hand, and the Proprietors on the other? Had not the Directors themselves, by their propositions, acknowledged 12; per cent. to be this medium, and do they not there confess that the half of the profits of the furplus was a sufficient fund for discharging even 500,000l, more of debt than is now owing? Had not the administration acquiesced to these truths till 18 hours before, had not the stock fose

in consequence? Would it not have been the vilest deception on foreigners and the public, if a majority of the Proprietors could have changed their opinions thus publicly pledged, without any alteration of circumstances, merely at the unhallowed sound of changeling ministers?

lfe.

ro-

re-

an

ge,

ot

of

br

b-

n

y,

le

d,

ıd

ir

t.

ot

S

L

t

1

I come lastly to consider the affront offered to parliament. Every one must acknowledge the deference and respect which is due to either of the branches of the legislation; but how an exercise of just and legal powers, in a decent proper, and constitutional manner, in order to prevent stock-jobbing, fraud, and injustice, can be construed an affront on the guardians of our rights and privileges, is more than I can conceive.

I have heard of Massoniella, a sisherman, getting possession of the city of Naples by a public heat of his own somenting; but the people perceived the absurdity in sive or six days. I remember our army at Carthagena being thrown into the utmost panic, when many were drowned, and numbers kil-

D 2

led.

led, by the approach of two negroes and a white horse in the night; yet assoon as day light returned, the people recovered their senses.

But of all the delusions by which mankind have been affected, and for so long a time, this of the affront offered to parliament is the most extraordinary, because, by the utmost stretch of imagination, I defy the world to point out from whence it can be deduced.

Before I conclude, I will fay fome-thing on the allusions which have been made to the transactions of the South-Sea company, in the year 1720. What comparisons can be drawn between a company raising their dividend to 60 per cent. without any visible means of answering such declarations, and a company acknowledged to be the richest in the whole world, and in possession of kingdoms bigger and more opulent than Britain, and whose trade alone yields a profit of 600,000l. a-year, taking to themselves, upon their former small capital.

pital, a dividend of 12½ per cent. and this at a time when even the Dutch and Swedish East-India companies are dividing upwards of 20 per cent. on their capitals. I leave this to every impartial man to determine.

lag

ch

fo

ed

y, 1-

lt

to and a ser

Some gentlemen may imagine by this unufual interpolition of parliament to correct what they may call the deficiency of the law, that they are doing good fervice to their country; this indeed would be carrying the doctrine of the dispensing power to every branch of the legislature. To such men I shall only apply what Tacitus says of Tiberius, after telling us of the popularity he had acquired by frequently sitting in judgment and correcting the rigour of the law:

Ceterum dum veritati confulitur Libertas corumpebatur.

FINIS.

pical a dividend of est powerst and this at a come when over weather and this did that the thing of an expression are the expression of an exercise on their copies.

I leave this to every copality man to describe.

Cetarum from various confliction

DIMIT

